Quick guide to Scoresheet ‘17

Structure of the scoresheet

- Each role has its own SEGMENT, arranged from top to bottom (Rep, Opp, Rev)
- PARTS OF PERFORMANCE are aligned from left to right, corresponding to time flow
- ENTITY = category to evaluate, single column
- RESPONSES = levels of how satisfyingly an entity was performed/fulfilled, arranged from top to bottom from smallest to biggest number of points added (subtracted in case of answers)

Grading

1. During / after each part of performance, the juror is supposed to:
   - Mark one (or multiple*) responses for each relevant** entity (column)

2. Evaluating:
   - Make a ‘visual average’ of points for responses in one particular part of performance according to the ruler on the left hand side of each set of responses. This can be a decimal number or an interval
   - Start from 1 and add or subtract points assigned for each part of performance ***
   - Choose the closest integer from range [1,10] – this is the final grade.

Example 1: quite good presentation, well done opponent’s speech
Very little was said in the presentation - prioritisation can hardly be present

Time used is weighted less than e.g. relevant topics addressed

Example 2: weak presentation, well done opponent’s speech

Recommendations:

- an entity is to be completely eliminated when action is not expectable, not in the case when it just wasn’t done
- entities can have different weights, score for each part of performance is a weighted average
- double penalty should be avoided when subtracting points for answers
- for reporter, points for discussion with opponent part of performance should not exceed the amount of points scored for report

Please note that:

- **FOR ANSWERING JURY (OPPONENT AND REVIEWER) QUESTIONS ONLY 0 OR NEGATIVE POINTS CAN BE OBTAINED ****
- CORRESPONDENCE BETWEEN ROWS AND POINTS IS NOT LINEAR
- THE AMOUNT OF THE POINTS ASSIGNED IS NOT EQUAL TO THE ROW’S NUMBER
- THE INCREASING THICKNESS OF THE LOWER ROWS IS THERE ON PURPOSE, TO ALLOW BETTER DIFFERENTIATION IN THE HIGHER GRADES REGION
- **ALMOST ALL MEANS ALMOST ALL THAT IS IN THE BENCHMARK OF THE PROBLEM OR REPORTER’S EXPLANATION

* It’s up to the juror to decide whether to single out one of the predefined responses, and put a mark on it, or to decide that his/her evaluation is somewhere in between two or more of the responses

** One may even imagine a situation when a juror decides that it makes no sense to evaluate certain entity – e.g. prioritization in opponents’ speech if it could not be, based on the report, expected

***While evaluating answers to jury questions, points should only be subtracted for an incorrect answer, if for the same claim / opinion points haven’t been already subtracted in other entities: no double penalty

****This is due to the fact that a team must not be punished for not being asked. When no questions were asked or all of them are answered correctly, no points are neither added nor subtracted, if there are some incorrect answers, points can be subtracted.