REPORTER Start from 1 and add/subtract							stage: fight (round no				o.): room:			proble	problem no.: Ju				ror's name:		
1	1 + = =			repo	reporter: opponent:				revie		wer:			signature:							
explanation		theory/model		relevant experiments	comparison between theory and experiment		own contribution		task ful		DISCUSSION WITH (scientific contribution		relev	OPPONENT relevant arguments/responses		reporter's conduct at the discussion		ANSWERS TO JURY, OPPONENT and			
0	almost no alr		almost no	0	too few	no/ almos	t no	others' data, incorrectly cite		misund	erstood	o —	almost no		too few		poor		REVIEWER'S QUESTIONS		
1 =			some			some		review of sources, cited		par	tly		only technica	l sor	20	some aspects fine		1	concise and correct of	or	
2	fair fa		fair			not well fitting		some own input		aver	rage	1	points cleared			Some a	spects fille	0	no questions asked		
3 = 4	detailed quite d demonstrative cor		good		well performed, sufficient number	deviations qualitatively analysed		+ some interesting	gresults	intere solu	-	2 =	some scientifi points cleared	-		g	good		some incorrect,		
			ite detail		+ results explained	,		considerable experimenta		some a	-	3 — i	interesting poir			some aspects		_	inconclusive or too lo	ong	
			correct			explained, conclusive well fitting, deviations		or theoretical considerable experimental		above a			discussed		proved deep		efficient		_ deeply incorrect or s	1	
6 —	- deep and comprenen shows physical insig				+ reproducible, convincing analysis	analysed, con		and theoreti		greater than ex		4 —	brought in new physics	v proved underst	•	overal	ll efficient	1	deep misconceptions	š	
OPPONENT Start from 1 and add/subtract 1 + + + - = = QUESTIONS ASKED OPPOSITION (SPEECH) DISCUSSION WITH REPORTER ANSWERS TO JURY and																					
QUESTIONS ASKED			UPP	OPPOSITION (SPEECH)		lavant taniaa	int topics own opinions		us time				1	1			.4		SWERS TO JURY ar		
o — almost no, irrelevant			-		elevant topics own op addressed prese		prioritisation	time manageme	nt	scientif contribut			- -	ponent's c	nrioritication		REV	IEWER'S QUESTIC			
relevant, aimed at resolving unclear points in the report + short, apt and clear, well prioritized, all time used NOTES:		0 —			or irrelevant	very little		poor		almost r			ery little	poor r		no	0	_ concise and correct	or		
		1	_	e main points	few	some		reasonabl	e 0 =	little				ome aspect	s fine	some	·	no questions asked			
		1=		nain points	·		ect reasonable	fair		partial	.		ome correct good		reasonable		-1—	some incorrect,			
			all relevant points		many many cor		_								fair		inconclusive or too l	_			
		4=	_	·		+ improven suggestion	nent very good	+ all time use	3 = 4 =	new cruc	- 1	l relevant + im	nrovement	overall effic				deeply incorrect or sh deep misconceptions			
REVIEWER Start from 1 and add/subtract 1 + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +																					
QUESTIONS ASKED too few, mostly irrelevant some relevant, sufficient number,				RE'	VIEW OF REPOR	RT	İ	İ	prioritisatio	RE'		OPPOSITION		į i		İ			WERS TO JURY		
			r,	0	report summary & understanding	pros & cons	discussion analysis	s own opinions		ion	speed		pros & cons	discussion analysis	own op	inions	prioritisation	QUES	$STIONS_{L}$ concise and correct \mathfrak{c}	or	
1 contributed to clarify some unclear			ar points	s U =	poor	irrelevant	almost	no too few	no		poor poor	r	irrelevant	almost no	too f	ew	no		no questions asked		
many unclear points resolved; mos used, suitably allotted to Rep & Opp			ost time	1 =	partial	partially relevan	t too short/lor	long some	some	1	too short	t/long	partially releva	nt too short/lo	ng son	ne	some		some incorrect,		
				2 =	good	mostly adequate	relevant p	arts many	reasonab	le 2 =	informati	ve, apt mostly adequate		relevant par	ts mai	ny	reasonable		inconclusive or too lo	ong	
+ short, apt and clear, well prioritize			itized,	3	detailed,	fully	accurat	e, + improvemen	t good	3	conder	nsed	fully adequate	accurate,	+ improv		good	-2	deeply incorrect or s		

fight (round no.):

room:

adequate

and accurate

suggestions

conclusive

problem no.:

Juror's name:

SCORESHEET

stage:

time managed efficiently

NOTES:

complex

adequate

conclusive

suggestions

deep misconceptions